## Search Algorithms

## Search Algorithm

- Uninformed:
  - Breadth-first search
  - Uninform-cost search
  - Depth-first search
- Heuristic-based:
  - Greedy best-first search
  - A\* Search

**function** GRAPH-SEARCH(*problem*) **returns** a solution, or failure initialize the frontier using the initial state of *problem initialize the explored set to be empty* 

#### loop do

if the frontier is empty then return failure
choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier
if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution *add the node to the explored set*expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier *only if not in the frontier or explored set*

## Uninformed Search Strategies

- Also called 'blind search'
- the strategies have no additional information about states beyond that provided in the problem definition.
- All they can do is **generate successors** and **distinguish a goal** state from a non-goal state.
- All search strategies are distinguished by the order in which nodes are expanded.



 Strategies that know whether one non-goal state is "more promising" than another are called informed search or heuristic search strategies

#### Breadth-first search

- Breadth-first search is a simple strategy in which the root node is expanded first
  - then all the successors of the root node are expanded next, then their successors, and so on. In general,
- all the nodes are expanded at a given depth in the search tree before any nodes at the next level are expanded.



**Figure 3.12** Breadth-first search on a simple binary tree. At each stage, the node to be expanded next is indicated by a marker.

• This is achieved very simply by using a FIFO queue for the frontier

#### Cont.

function BREADTH-FIRST-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure

 $node \leftarrow a node with STATE = problem.INITIAL-STATE, PATH-COST = 0$ if problem.GOAL-TEST(node.STATE) then return SOLUTION(node) frontier  $\leftarrow a$  FIFO queue with node as the only element explored  $\leftarrow$  an empty set

#### loop do

if EMPTY?(frontier) then return failure

 $node \leftarrow POP(frontier)$  /\* chooses the shallowest node in frontier \*/

add node.STATE to explored

for each action in problem.Actions(node.State) do

 $child \gets \mathsf{CHILD}\text{-}\mathsf{NODE}(\mathit{problem}, \mathit{node}, \mathit{action})$ 

if child.STATE is not in explored or frontier then

**if** *problem*.**GOAL**-**TEST**(*child*.**STATE**) **then return SOLUTION**(*child*) *frontier* ← **INSERT**(*child*, *frontier*)

#### Cont.

- Disadvantage is Space and Time Complexity O (b<sup>d</sup>)
- When all step costs are equal, breadth-first search is optimal because it always expands the shallowest unexpanded node
- However, real world problem may not have equal cost.

#### Uniform cost search

- Instead of expanding the shallowest node, uniform-cost search expands the node n with the **lowest path cost g(n)**.
- This is done by storing the frontier as a priority queue ordered by **g**
- Uniform-cost search does not care about the number of steps a path has, but only about their total cost

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XyoucHYKYSE&ab\_channel=Sha ulMarkovitch

## UCS Algorithm

function UNIFORM-COST-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure

```
node \leftarrow a \text{ node with STATE} = problem.INITIAL-STATE, PATH-COST = 0
frontier \leftarrow a \text{ priority queue ordered by PATH-COST}, with node as the only element
```

 $explored \leftarrow an empty set$ 

#### loop do

if EMPTY?(frontier) then return failure

node ← POP(frontier) /\* chooses the lowest-cost node in frontier \*/ if problem.GOAL-TEST(node.STATE) then return SOLUTION(node) add node.STATE to explored //Print the content of priority queue for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE) do child ← CHILD-NODE(problem, node, action) if child.STATE is not in explored or frontier then frontier ← INSERT(child, frontier) else if child.STATE is in frontier with higher PATH-COST then replace that frontier node with child



## Depth First Search

- Depth-first search always **expands the deepest node** in the current frontier of the search tree.
- The search proceeds immediately to the deepest level of the search tree, where the nodes have no successors.
- As those nodes are expanded, they are dropped from the frontier, so then the search "backs up" to the next deepest node that still has unexplored successors.
- BFS uses a FIFI queue, depth-first search uses a LIFO queue (stack)



# Advantage of DFS over BFS – space complexity

- DFS has advantage over BFS when searching in a tree (not in graph)
- In case of tree search, DFS needs to store only a single path from the root to a leaf node, along with the remaining unexpanded sibling nodes for each node on the path.
- Once a node has been expanded, it can be removed from memory as soon as its descendants have been fully explored.

